Department of Metropolitan Development (DMD)
City of Indianapolis
Indianapolis, IN 46204

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS (RFQ)
Professional Services – Online Data Portal

This "Request for Qualifications" (RFQ) is official notification pursuant to IC 5-16-11, 1-4 of need for professional services for the City of Indianapolis - Department of Metropolitan Development regarding the development of a web-based community data portal in support of the Department's Plan 2020 initiative and general planning activities. A submittal of a qualification statement does not guarantee that the firm will be contracted to perform any services but only serves notice to the City of Indianapolis that the firm desires to be considered.

DATE ISSUED:	**SUBMITTAL DEADLINE:**
February 13, 2015	**February 27, 2015**

SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS:
Two (2) bound originals and one (1) electronic copy on a flash drive. Facsimile or email submissions will not be accepted. Submissions must be clearly labeled with the Project Name (above). Submissions must be signed by a representative of the Respondent organization authorized to submit and establish fees on behalf of the Respondent and bind the Respondent to the terms and conditions of this RFQ. Submissions must be received on or before 12 p.m. (EST) on February 27, 2015. Late submissions will not be accepted or considered. The Respondent shall assume full responsibility for the delivery of Qualifications submission to the City.

Submissions must be mailed or delivered to:
Brad Beaubien, AICP
Planning Administrator
Department of Metropolitan Development
200 East Washington Street, Suite 1841
Indianapolis, IN 46204

The deadline date to submit questions is **12:00 p.m. (EST) on   February 20, 2015.**
Written responses will be provided via e-mail no later than **5:00 p.m. (EST) on   February 23, 2015.**

INCURRED COSTS:

The City shall not be liable for any costs, including any travel, incurred by the Respondent prior to award of the contract(s). Total liability of the City is limited to the terms and conditions of this request and any resulting contract.

INDEPENDENT PRICE DETERMINATION:

Submission of a fee schedule is not required with the response as actual fees/pricing will be negotiated at a later date. However, if fee or pricing information is included in a response, the following certifications must be made.

Each submission shall include a signature page that includes the following certifications:
A. By submission of these Qualifications and Fee Schedule, the Respondent certifies and, in the case of a joint proposal, each party thereto certifies as to its own organization, that in connection with this submission:
1. The fees in the proposal have been arrived at independently, without consultation, communication or agreement for the purposes of restricting competition, as to any matter relating to such prices with any other Respondent, or with any competitor; and
2. Unless otherwise required by law, the fees which have been quoted in the proposal have not been knowingly disclosed by the Respondent, and will not knowingly be disclosed by the Respondent prior to award directly or indirectly to any other Respondent, or to any competitor; and
3. No attempt has been made or will be made by the Respondent to entice any other person or firm to submit or not to submit a proposal for the purpose of restricting competition.
B. The undersigned certifies that they are authorized by the Respondent's organization to decide as to the services and fees being offered in this submission, and that they have not participated and will not participate in any action contrary to "A-1, 2 and 3" above .

Submissions will not be considered for award if the language of A. or B. above has been modified or deleted.

NO THIRD PARTY RIGHTS:

It is agreed and understood that the contract is made solely for the benefit of the City and the selected Respondent, not made for the benefit of any third party, and that no action or defense may be founded upon this contract except by the signatory parties.

DISCLOSURE OF PROPOSAL CONTENTS:

All responses to this RFQ become the property of the City and shall be subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act. If a proposal contains any information that the Respondent does not want disclosed to the public or used by the City for any purpose other than proposal evaluation, each sheet of such information must be marked with the following disclaimer:

"This information shall not be disclosed outside the City of Indianapolis or be duplicated, used or disclosed in whole or in part for purposes other than to evaluate the proposal; provided that if a contract is awarded to the Respondent, as a result of, or in connection with the submission of such information, the City of Indianapolis shall have the right to duplicate, use or disclose this information to the extent provided in the contract. This restriction does not limit the City of Indianapolis' right to use information contained herein if obtained from another source."

AWARD OF CONTRACTS / REJECTION OF PROPOSALS:

The City will award a contract to the Respondent deemed the most qualified and responsive as determined at the sole discretion of the City based on the City's review of the Respondent's ability to provide the required services. This contract will require completion of the work pursuant to these documents. The City reserves the right to reject any and/or all proposals and to waive any irregularity in proposals received, whenever such rejection or waiver is in the City's best interest. Notice of Award will be provided to the selected Respondent at the earliest possible date. The City reserves the right to select more than one contractor, dependent upon the qualifications of the Respondent, and the needs of the City.

TYPE OF CONTRACT:

The City will enter into an indefinite quantity, cost reimbursement contract with the selected Respondent as a result of this RFQ. The contract will have a fee structure based on the fee schedule negotiated between the selected Respondent(s) and the City. This structure may be derived per grant. Only work performed on tasks for which the scope of work and specified maximum, not to be exceeded, cost have been approved by the City will be compensated.

The total contract value may be limited, at the sole discretion of the City, to the amount of funds available under the current grant allocations from the federal Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) for the Community Development Block Grant.

Negotiations may be undertaken with those Respondents whose qualifications, proposal and other factors show them to be qualified, responsible and capable of performing the work. The contract with the selected Respondent will require compliance with all Federal HUD laws, rules, and regulations that govern the CDBG program, including but not limited to, 24 CFR 570. The City reserves the right to consider proposal modifications received at any time before the award is made, if such action is deemed to be in the best interest of the City.

CONTRACT DURATION:

This contract will be for a period not to exceed one (1) year from the date of contract execution. The contract will include the option for one, one-year, extension or a single extension until the date of completion of activities funded by, or expiration date of, any DMD grants, if mutually agreed by the City and the Respondent.

INSURANCE:

The selected firm will be responsible for providing certificates of insurance to the City which prove the firm has not less than $1,000,000 / occurrence and $5,000,000 aggregate coverage for **COMPREHENSIVE GENERAL LIABILITY AND PROPERTY DAMAGE INSURANCE**, as well as proof of **WORKER'S COMPENSATION INSURANCE** and **EMPLOYER'S LIABILITY INSURANCE**. The Comprehensive General Liability and Property Damage certificate shall name the City of Indianapolis as an additionally insured party, without exceptions, and shall carry a thirty (30) day written Notice of Cancellation. The Limit for the Workers' Compensation Insurance and Employer's Liability Insurance shall be the Statutory Limits of the State of Indiana. Proof of the insurances stipulated above shall be provided to the City within ten (10) working days of a firm receiving notice from the City of intent to enter into a contract. The acceptance of any such certificate by the City shall in no way relieve the Respondent of obligations to provide and to cause its subconsultants and subcontractors to provide the insurance herein referenced.

The Consultant and all subconsultants and subcontractors shall, at their own expense, obtain and maintain **AUTOMOBILE LIABILITY INSURANCE** such that it will protect against liability imposed by law for loss or damage, including personal injuries and death arising from the ownership, use or operation of any motor vehicle as specified below:

1. Coverage that complies with the requirements of Indiana Law.
2. Coverage for Owned, Hired, and Non-owned vehicles.
3. Residual liability coverage with a combined single limit of at least $1,000,000 for both Bodily Injury and Property Damage.

The Consultant shall maintain all insurance requirements during the life of the contract.

If the selected firm neglects or refuses to provide any insurance required herein, or if any insurance is canceled, the City may, at its option, terminate its contract with the Respondent or procure such insurance and adjust the contract price downward by the reasonable amount of premiums paid or to be paid. Notwithstanding anything appearing to the contrary in the Contract Documents, in the event any damages are incurred by the Parties during the work pertaining to this request, the City and the Respondent, agree to initially proceed against such insurance to the extent that it is available and results in payment of such damages and to waive their respective rights of subrogation against each other to the extent valid insurance covers the damages incurred; provided, however, that if any such damages are not insured and/or do not result in payment of such damages, the same shall not affect the liabilities of the Parties as otherwise provided in the Contract.

COMPLIANCE WITH 24 CFR 85.36:
In accordance with State of Indiana Executive Order No. 1, 1987, the City encourages qualified Minority Business Enterprises (MBE), Women Business Enterprises (WBE), and Veteran Business Enterprises (VBE) to respond to this RFQ. The City also encourages Respondents to identify and include qualified MBE/WBE/VBE subcontractors in their responses.

The selected Consultant shall make a good faith effort to comply with the City's MBE/WBE/VBE program and shall use good faith efforts to meet the following minority business enterprise, women business enterprise and veteran business enterprises participation and work force utilization goals:

1. 15% MBE owned and minority work force utilization participation, as certified by the City;
2. 8% WBE owned and female work force utilization participation, as certified by the City; and
3. 3% VBE owned and veteran work force utilization participation, as certified by the City.

The City may require the selected Consultant to submit additional information regarding MBE/WBE/VBE certification and utilization. Such information may include but not be limited to: (i) Copies of all executed agreements for each MBE/WBE/VBE enterprise engaged to satisfy the participation goals, showing (ii) the name and address of the MBE/WBE, (iii) the scope of work to be performed, (iv) the dollar value of work to be performed or furnished by each proposed MBE/WBE/VBE subcontractor or MBE/WBE/VBE joint venture partner, (v) acknowledgement and acceptance of the agreement by the MBE/WBE/VBE and (vi) monthly utilization payment reports.

TERMS, CONDITIONS AND EXCEPTIONS:
The City does not create any obligation, expressed or implied, of any kind or description in issuing this RFQ or receiving a response. Neither this RFQ nor the response shall be considered as a legal offer. The

City reserves the right to alter, amend, or modify any provision of this RFQ, or to withdraw the RFQ, at any time prior to the award of a contract pursuant thereto, if it is in the best interest of the City.

The City reserves the right to reject any and all responses without cause, waive irregularities or informalities in procedures related to the RFQ, and make inquiries as deemed necessary of Respondents and their references and clients regarding qualifications and information submitted as part of their responses.

Some or all of the work performed under CDBG will be subject to federal contractual and cost-cutting provisions. The City hereby notifies Respondents that a successful award may be contingent upon the agreement and ability of the selected Respondent to comply with these required contractual provisions, including, but not limited to minimum wage rates (e.g. Davis-Bacon Act, DBE utilization, etc.). In the event the selected Respondent(s) do not enter into the required agreement to carry out the purposes described in this RFQ, the City may commence negotiations with another Respondent. By submitting a response to this RFQ, each Respondent waives all rights to protest or seek remedies whatsoever regarding any aspect of this RFQ, the selection of a Respondent or Respondents with which to negotiate a contract, the rejection of any or all offers to negotiate, or a decision to terminate negotiations.

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS

INTRODUCTION:
The City of Indianapolis, Indiana (City) is seeking to select web development and data aggregation consultant (Consultant) to develop an online data portal known as IndyVitals. The City will choose a Consultant in accordance with the procurement policies and procedures of the City.

BACKGROUND:

1. Plan 2020 is a planning process that includes the update or creation of seven plans impacting all or portions of Marion County, Indiana. This process is a partnership of the Department of Metropolitan Development and the Greater Indianapolis Progress Committee and includes the following plans:
    a. Prepared by the Department of Metropolitan Development.
        i. Marion County Comprehensive Plan
        ii. Marion County Thoroughfare Plan
        iii. Indianapolis Regional Center Plan
        iv. 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan
    b. Prepared by the Department of Public Works:
        i. Marion County Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Plan.
    c. Prepared by The Indy Partnership:
        i. Central Indiana Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy.
    d. Prepared by the Greater Indianapolis Progress Committee:
        i. The Bicentennial Plan for Indianapolis.

2. The Bicentennial Plan for Indianapolis is itself comprised of five separate and related components:
    a. Bicentennial Agenda: The umbrella vision document establishing the direction and framework of the plan.
    b. Bicentennial Work Plan: Committed action steps implementing partners will complete by or before the city's Bicentennial in 2021.
    c. Plan 2020 Engagement Evaluation: An evaluation of the community engagement process used by the Plan 2020 initiative.
    d. Bicentennial Plan Implementation Dashboard: An online report on the implementation progress of the work plan's strategies and action steps.
    e. IndyVitals: An online resource to measure the impact of the Plan 2020 initiative at a community and neighborhood scale.
3. The IndyVitals component of the Bicentennial Plan is intended to serve three primary purposes.
    a. Plan 2020's online resource to measure the impact of the Plan 2020 Initiative at a community and neighborhood level scale.
    b. The Department's Division of Planning has undergone restructuring and staff reductions, and the Division's capacity to respond to requests for community data is severely constrained. IndyVitals is intended to replicate as much as possible the data services previously provided by Division staff.
    c. The Department's Division of Planning restructuring involves support for collective impact processes for coordinated neighborhood-scale planning activities. To facilitate such a process, a common set of indicators of neighborhood health and stability is desired in order to align diverse planning partners toward a common goal.

SCOPE OF SERVICES:

The selected Consultant will develop, manage, and host an online data portal that is powered by, or derived from, the existing community data and mapping portal SAVI (savi.org), or an existing , substantially similar, community data and mapping system. The SAVI platform is the preferred platform of choice due to its adoption by several key community partners, including the United Way of Central Indiana, as well as its existing updating and maintenance support. This portal will be known as IndyVitals and will be accessed by domains already owned by the Plan 2020 initiative, which include indyvitals.com, indyvitals.net, and indyvitals.org. All domain names will be proxies to access the same, singular portal. Domain names will remain under the ownership of the Greater Indianapolis Progress Committee but be administered by the selected Consultant.

The development of this portal will be governed by a small project team of organizational representatives identified by the Department of Metropolitan Development and the Greater Indianapolis Progress Committee.

The data portal will be publically available by the end of the one-year contract timeline, and will remain publically accessible until at least 2021. An ongoing maintenance contract or other commitment should not be assumed in the development of the portal.

The data portal will address the following parameters.

1. The portal is envisioned to have two scales of data provision.
    a. <u>Community</u>: A set of data indicators for Marion County.
    b. <u>Neighborhood</u>: A set of data indicators for approximately 100 non-overlapping geographies defined by the Department. The Consultant will work to approximate these

boundaries as close as possible using geographies associated with data sets, such as U.S. Census Bureau Tracts and Block Groups.

2. The portal is envisioned to have two types of reporting interfaces for each of the above scales.
    a. Profile: A general demographic and socioeconomic profile of the geography, similar or identical to the content of the current "Community Profiles" component of SAVI.
    b. Indicators: A pre-defined set of up to 50 data indicators selected by the project team, with consultant input, based on the following selection criteria. A preliminary draft set of these indicators is provided in "Attachment A."
        i. Geographic Limitation: The indicator must be able to be measured at the neighborhood level.
        ii. Resource Limitation: The indicator must be readily attainable data tracked routinely by some other organization or entity.
        iii. Usability Limitation: The indicator must be something we are hoping to change, and thus be able to make a value judgment.
        iv. Distribution Limitation: The indicator should represent phenomenon that occurs generally across the county and thus enable comparison of one neighborhood to another.

3. The indicator interface component of the portal is envisioned to have three levels of "depth" intended to correspond to three distinct user groups.
    a. Interested Citizen: A simple dashboard that provides graphic indicators of how a community is doing for each of the indicators, and perhaps composite indicators that summarize the underlying individual indicators into a single indicator.
    b. Organization: A more in-depth view of the indicator, including both temporal and geographic comparison graphics and tables, with some indicators broken down to the next level (such as by race). This level will provide the level of data required in many grant applications, for example.
    c. Policymaker: A highly complex view intended to help policy makers, funders, and program evaluators understand the complex variables driving each indicator.

4. Both interfaces (profile and indicator) are envisioned to also address the following criteria.
    a. Data Download: Each user view should be available for export in user-friendly PDF report format as well as in raw data Microsoft Excel format.
    b. Data Visualization: Each user view should present the data in the most applicable map, graph, and/or table view. In general, the type of visualization should be simple for the interested citizen user group and increase in complexity for other user groups in order to provide the most understandable and user-friendly visualization.
    c. Dataset Maintenance: By integrating with the SAVI system, the portal should be able to integrate, recalculate, and re-visualize any changes in the underlying SAVI dataset automatically and with minimal, if any, administration.
    d. Dataset Flexibility: The portal should be able to accommodate addition or deletion of datasets over time.
    e. GeoSpatial Analysis: The portal software itself, or the Consultant, must possess the ability to perform geospatial analysis to derive some indicators, such as the percentage of a geography in proximity to certain land uses. It is envisioned such analysis will be based on ArcGIS shapefiles provided by the Department.

QUALIFICATIONS:
The following outlines the required knowledge, skills, and experience needed to create the proposed Indy Vitals website. A *working knowledge* and *experience* with the following are necessary:

1. Data analysis and visualization
   a. Analyze data for quality, meaning, and context within a community development and organizational capacity framework. Model data for community indicators.
   b. Implementing on-line GIS mapping tools and integrating with the SAVI Community Information System.
   c. Implementing web-based exploratory spatial data analysis tools and integrating with the SAVI Community Information System.
   d. Data visualization techniques including mapping, time series charts, and cross section charts.
   e. Implementing web-based data analysis and visualization tools, including dashboards, interactive time series charts, cross section charts, and interactive geographic mapping and integrating with the SAVI Community Information System.

2. Web-based GIS Expertise
   a. ESRI ArcGIS server and web client API programing.
   b. Using map caching techniques to obtain high performance GIS web services.

3. Web application development: System design, development and deployment
   a. Website project management experience: Managing the website development process - developing data and functional requirements specifications, user interface wireframes, user interface graphical design, database development, and implementation.
   b. Per project requirements describe software and hardware components, define security and maintenance aspects of the application, design wire frames, develop visual design, define business rules, and create process diagrams, pseudo code and other documents.
   c. Per design descriptions develop the application using appropriate software development tools. This will include development of data access API's, development of web application per wireframes and visual designs. Develop GIS layers using ArcGIS server. Develop advanced visualizations using visualization tools maps. Develop GIS-based exploratory spatial data analysis (ESDA) visualizations.
   d. Create IIS web site; deploy Web API's and add additional API's.
   e. Ability to work with design files and HTML templates.
   f. Deploy applications on appropriate server systems.

4. Database modeling and development
   a. Use GIS and statistical methods to clean, quality check, geo-enable, process, and aggregate administrative data into neighborhood-level indicators.
   b. Use GIS technology to build mapping table defining neighborhoods by groups of census tracts in order to aggregate data to neighborhood boundaries.
   c. Provide schemas for front end application access and backend template configuration.
   d. Design and implement data model, schema, and procedures.
   e. Provide procedural packages and data models to support web application data requests for both web reports and custom visualizations.
   f. Provide procedural packages and data models to support backend data import and template configuration definitions.
   g. Provide a private backend application website to support template custom configuration requirements

5. System administration
   a. Install and maintain Windows 2008 R2 and RHEL 5 in a virtual environment.

    b. Secure, manage and track application communications through a physical as well as operating system level firewall.
    c. Provide server level security to include virus protection, vulnerability scanning, regular operating system updates and backups.
    d. Install, troubleshoot and maintain ArcGIS Server.
    e. Install, troubleshoot and maintain Oracle Express in an RHEL 5 Linux environment.
6. <u>Ability to build web-based data applications that directly integrate with SAVI data and combine with data from other sources.</u>

CONSULTANT SELECTION PROCESS:
A qualified Respondent, or Respondents, will be selected using a Qualifications-Based Selection process in accordance with the requirements of 24 CFR 85.36 and 2 CFR 200. Interested parties are to submit Qualification Statements as described below to the City for review. After review of submitted qualifications, the City may request additional information from one or more Respondents.

The Consultant will be selected based on qualifications and project understanding as determined to be in the best interests of the City. All decisions will be final.

SUBMISSION OF QUALIFICATIONS:
Qualified Consultants are invited to submit a written qualifications statement to the City for consideration. The minimum content of that submission is outlined below:
1. Brief narrative history of the firm
2. Proposed project team, including an organization chart, identifying all key project team members qualifications
3. Firm qualifications and related experience, with references, demonstrating the firm's capabilities related to providing services described in the Scope of Services and Qualifications noted above.
4. Descriptions of technical and operational approaches for performing the project services described above
5. State the firm's status as a MBE/WBE/VBE as part of the response. If the firm is claiming MBE/WBE/VBE status for itself or a subcontractor, valid certification must be included in the response.

EVALUATION OF QUALIFICATIONS SUBMISSIONS:
Qualifications submissions will be evaluated on the basis of demonstrated capabilities and experience in the role of Consultant for recipient of HUD CDBG grant funds; qualifications and availability of project staff; scope of financial management services provided by the firm; and understanding and reasonableness of costs and schedules for tasks described in the Scope of Services.

A summary of these and other evaluation factors, and the evaluation weighting criteria of each, is presented below:
1. Demonstrated stability and capabilities of the firm (50% of the evaluation)
    a. Firm and staff stability.
    b. Capability to meet project staffing and schedule needs.
    c. Project experience, relevant to the scope of services described above.
    d. Project summaries for representative projects, including client name, dates of service and references (contact name, title, and telephone number), demonstrating experience related to similar projects.

2. Structure, demonstrated capabilities, and qualifications of project team (50%)
    a. Organizational structure, project position/role descriptions and responsibilities, and key staff assignments.
    b. Qualifications and experience of key project staff related to the requirements of the Scope of Services.
    c. Identification and qualifications of all proposed subcontractors and descriptions of the services to be provided.

ATTACHMENT A

	Indicator	Methodology	Source	Currently in SAVI?
OVERALL	Population Count	Count	ACS	Already in SAVI
	Population Density	Count/Acres	ACS	Data in SAVI, need to calculate
	Income Density	Count x Per Capita Income / Acres	ACS	Data in SAVI, need to calculate
	Land Value Density	Taxable Gross AV/Acres	Assessor	Data in SAVI, need to calculate
	Indicator	Methodology	Source	Currently in SAVI?
BUILT ENVIRONMENT *Quality, choice, and access*	Housing Density	Units/Acre	ACS	Data in SAVI, need to calculate
	Employment Density	Jobs/Acre	?	Data in SAVI, need to calculate
	Vacant Residential Property	% of parcels coded Residential Unimproved	Assessor	Data in SAVI, need to calculate
	Walkability	Intersections/Square Mile	GIS Calculation	Data Available, need to calculate
	Housing + Transportation Cost Burden	Center for Neighborhood Technology	ACS	Data Available, need to calculate
	Affordable Housing	% of Units where those in Indy making 80% Area Median Income (HUD) would spend less than 30% income to afford	ACS, HUD	Data Available, need to calculate
	Median Home Value	Value	ACS	Already in SAVI
	Long-Term Residential Vacancy	Count of Addresses Vacant More than 24 Months	USPS	Already in SAVI, but only up to 2010
	Park Access	% of Parcels not within ½ mile of Park	GIS	Data Available, need to calculate
	Transportation Mode	% of Trips Not in Auto	ACS	Data in SAVI, need to calculate
	Greenway Access	% of Parcels not within ½ mile of Greenway	GIS	Data Available, need to calculate
	Average Walkscore	TBD	Walkscore	Data Available, need to calculate

	Indicator	Methodology	Source	Currently in SAVI?
ECONOMY + JOBS *Quality jobs and shared prosperity*	Business Establishments	Count	DCE Use Permit? COSTAR?	Need to collect
	Business Sales	Annual Total Value	DOR? COSTAR?	Need to collect
	Jobs	Count	?	ACS has workers, but "jobs" is different.
	Small Businesses	Count of Establishments with Fewer than 50 Employees	COSTAR?	Need to collect
	Unemployment	Rate	ACS	Already in SAVI
	Labor Force Participation	Rate	ACS	Already in SAVI
	Personal Income	Proxy for Living Wage?		?
	Living Wage??	% of Employed Persons Earning at Least Living Wage	ACS, MIT Living Wage Calculator	Partial in SAVI, partial new
	Post-High School Degree	% Associates or Better	ACS	Already in SAVI
Education, Arts & Community	Indicator	Methodology	Source	Currently in SAVI?
	Third Places	% of Parcels not within ½ mile of a Park, Library, Coffee Shop, Bar, Community Center	DCE Use Permits, GIS	Parcels easy, places not so much
	Reading Proficiency	Third Grade ISTEP Pass Rate	INDOE	Already in SAVI
	Quality Seats (Access)	% of Family Households with School Aged Children within 1 mile of an A or B school	INDOE, ACS, GIS	Data in SAVI, need to calculate.
	Quality Schools (Accountability)	% of total seats available that are A or B	INDOE, GIS	Data in SAVI, need to calculate.
	High School Graduation	Rate	ACS	Already in SAVI
	Pre-K Access	% of Family Households with Children under 5 within 1 mile of a Pathway to Quality 3 or 4 program	??	Data Available, need to calculate
	Library Utilization	% of Population with Library Card	??	??

	Indicator	Methodology	Source	Currently in SAVI?
EQUITY + EMPOWERMENT *Inclusion and access for all community members*	Poverty Rate	Rate	ACS	Already in SAVI
	Voter Registration	Registered/Eligible	Clerk?	http://www.indy.gov/eGov/County/Clerk/Election/Election_Info/Pages/default.aspx
	Voter Participation	Voter/Eligible	Clerk?	http://www.indy.gov/eGov/County/Clerk/Election/Election_Info/Pages/default.aspx
	Water Pollution Hazards	NPDES Permits/Acre	EPA	Need to collect
	Air Pollution Hazards	Title V Permits/Acre	EPA	Need to collect
	School Expulsions	Ratio of % Non-White Expulsions to % Non-White School Population	??	IDOE tight-lipped on discipline data.
	Indicator	Methodology	Source	Currently in SAVI?
HEALTH + SAFETY *Strong, resilient, safe*	Violent Crime Rate	UCR Personal Crimes	IMPD	Already in SAVI
	Property Crime Rate	UCR Property Crimes	IMPD	Already in SAVI
	Ped/Bike Safety	Share of Total County Fatal Ped/Bike – Car Accidents	FANS?	Need to collect
	Boarding Orders	TBD	DCE	Need to collect
	Mowing/Trash Orders	TBD	DCE	Need to collect
	Unsafe Building Demo	TBD	DCE	Need to collect
	Chronic Disease	Obesity? Cancer? Diabetes?	MCHD?	Perhaps diabetes from PEDAL work
	Childhood Disease	Obesity? Diabetes? Asthma?	MCHD?	Perhaps something from PEDAL work
	Food Access	% of Population more than 1 mile from supermarket/large grocery store (Block Group geography is used for distance calculation).	?	Food desert data by tract
	Healthcare Access	% of Population more than 1 mile from primary healthcare or emergency room providor (Block Group geography is used for distance calculation).	?	??

	Indicator	Methodology	Source	Currently in SAVI?
NATURAL SYSTEMS *Protect and restore the resources of life*	Permeable Surface	TBD, Tied to new stormwater fee calculation	SustainIndy	Need to collect
	Tree Cover	??	??	KIB working on this layer.

	Indicator	Methodology	Source	Currently in SAVI?
CLIMATE + ENERGY *Increase efficiency and reduce impact*	TBD			